Gen-Probe versus Vysis. Witness David Ward, 1 89 Quail Run Madison, Connecticut 06443. 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now 3 This is the deposition of Dr. 4 on record. David Ward taken on behalf of the plaintiff 5 in the case of Gen-Probe, Inc. plaintiff 6 versus Vysis Inc. Case number C A 99 CV 2 66 7 8. Filed in the United States District 8 Court, Southern District of California. 9 Today's date is May 18, 2001. The time on 10 videotape record is 9: 39 a.m. This 11 deposition is held at 11 57 Chapel Street, 12 New Haven Connecticut my name is Kevin 13 Aspinwall. Would everyone please introduce 14 15 yourselves for the record. MR. BOWEN: William Bowen for 16 plaintiff Gen-Probe Inc. 17 MR. LIPSEY: Defendant 18 19 defendant if I RRS. Witness sworn 20 21 BY MR. BOWEN:. Would you please state your name? 22 Q.

Ш

L

23

24

25

Α.

David Ward.

Dr. Ward, this deposition is being

taken in connection with a patent case that

- 1 activities that he was involved in but I
- 2 didn't, I can recall whether that was his
- 3 title.
- Q. Did you have an impression during
- 5 the period of time that you were associated
- 6 with Gene-Trac that Dr. Richards was
- 7 knowledgeable with respect to the technology
- 8 used by Gene-Trac?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. He seemed knowledgeable to you
- 11 about nucleic acid hybridization
- 12 technologies?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Have you ever heard the term one of
- 15 ordinary skill in the art?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And do you have -- you have been an
- 18 expert in a fair number of patent cases is
- 19 that true?
- 20 A. Some.
- Q. And in the course of those cases
- 22 have you had the term one of ordinary skill
- 23 in the art?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Based on your impression of Dr.

| | 3 | ordinary |
|--|----|----------|
| | 4 | nucleic |
| | 5 | |
| | 6 | form cal |
| | 7 | Α. |
| | 8 | Q. |
| | 9 | whether |
| | 10 | knowledg |
| | 11 | consider |
| | 12 | skill in |
| | 13 | |
| | 14 | A. |
| | 15 | the area |
| | 16 | Q. |
| | 17 | has beer |
| | 18 | am turni |
| | 19 | the note |
| | 20 | Californ |
| | 21 | |
| | 22 | that is |
| | 23 | meeting |
| | | |

25

| 1 | Richards while you were associate with with |
|----|--|
| 2 | Gene-Trac, did you consider him to be one of |
| 3 | ordinary skill in the art in the area of |
| 4 | nucleic acid hybridization. |
| 5 | MR. LIPSEY: I object to the |
| 6 | form calls for an expert opinion. |
| 7 | A. Can you rephrase the question. |
| 8 | Q. I am not sure I can. I am asking |
| 9 | whether or not based on your impression and |
| 10 | knowledge of Dr. Richards whether you |
| 11 | considered him to be one in the ordinary |
| 12 | skill in the art of nuclear hybridization. |
| 13 | MR. LIPSEY: Same objection. |
| 14 | A. The answer is he had knowledgge in |
| 15 | the area. |
| 16 | Q. I would like you to look at what |
| 17 | has been previously marked as Exhibit 45. I |
| 18 | am turning these pages only for you because |
| 19 | the notebook didn't survive the trip from |
| 20 | California too well. Sorry. |
| 21 | And this is a document Exhibit 45 |
| 22 | that is entitled partnership committee |
| 23 | meeting August 27, 1987. Would you take a |
| 24 | moment and look at that please. And I would |

like you to look at so you can tell me